

Waterloo Township
Zoning Board of Appeals
Meeting Minutes – May 9, 2007

Meeting called to order at 7:31

Pledge of Allegiance

Roll Call: G. Hannewald, J. Honderich, S. Opp, A. Walz

Absent: L. Clark and Alt. B. Richardson

Public Comment: None

Accept minutes: Minutes of January 18, 2007. Move J. Honderich, Support S. Opp. Motion Carried

Variance request: Aaron and Thelma Brooks – Addition onto the front of the house. Other options need to be looked into. Table until May 23, 2007. Move S. Opp, Support A. Walz Motion Carried

Adjournment: S. Opp moved, J. Honderich supported to adjourn at 9:00 P.M. Motion carried

Meeting Minutes – May 23, 2007

Meeting called to order at 7:30

Pledge of Allegiance

Roll Call: G. Hannewald, J. Honderich, S. Opp, A. Walz, L. Clark

Public Comment: None

Variance request:

Old Business - Aaron and Thelma Brooks – After much discussion as to why this addition could not be placed on either side of the house, this variance is denied. Move L. Clark, Support A. Walz Roll call vote 4-yes S. Opp, L. Clark, A. Walz, G. Hannewald and 1-no J. Honderich.

In denying the variance request submitted by Aaron and Thelma Brooks, the ZBA finds that the setback of the Brooks home from the road right away is already nonconforming, and a further decrease in the setback would create a potential hazard situation. The ZBA also finds that denial of the variance request would not prevent the owners from using the property for the permitted purpose, and that denial would that denial would not create an unnecessary burden for the owners.

New Business – Gary Greene – New pole barn to the side but in front of the home. This is actually two variance request one for each issue. Approved – Side Move S. Opp, Support A. Walz Motion Carried. Approved – Front Move J. Honderich, Support L. Clark Motion Carried.

In approving the variance request submitted by Gary and Mary Greene, the ZBA finds that:
1.) Strict compliance would render conformity unnecessarily burdensome.

- 2.) *Genuine practical difficulties exist because of topography and wetlands of the property that do not generally apply to other properties on the district.*
- 3.) *The variance request will be in harmony with the general intent of the Ordinance and will not cause an adverse effect on surrounding property.*
- 4.) *The variance requested is the minimum amount necessary to overcome the practical difficulty.*

Adjournment: A. Walz moved, L. Clark supported to adjourn at 8:15 P.M. Motion carried

Pam Davault
Recording Secretary
pdavault@ameritech.net